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Abstract—

In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces for self mapping satisfying a contractive condition of Integral type.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The first result on fixed points for contractive type mapping was the Banach’s contraction principle by S. Banach [1] in 1922. This theorem runs as the following,

Theorem 1.1 (Banach’s contraction principle) Let \((X,d)\) be a complete metric space, \(k \in (0,1)\) and \(f : X \to X\) be a mapping such that for each \(x,y \in X\), \[d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y)\] Then \(f\) has a unique fixed point \(c \in X\), such that for each \(x \in X\), \[\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n x = c.\] After the classical result, Kannan [5] gave a subsequently new contractive mapping to prove the fixed point theorem. Since then a number of mathematicians have been worked on fixed point theory dealing with mappings satisfying various type of contractive conditions.

In 2002, A. Branciari [2] analyzed the existence of fixed points for mapping \(f\) defined on a complete metric space \(X, d\) satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type.

Theorem 1.2 (Branciari) Let \((X,d)\) be a complete metric space, \(k \in (0,1)\) and let \(f : X \to X\) be a mapping such that for each \(x,y \in X\), \[\int_0^\infty \xi(t) \ dt \leq k \int_0^\infty \xi(t)^2 \ dt\] Where \(\xi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) is a Lesbesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of \([0, \infty),\) non negative, and such that for each \(x \in X\), \[\lim_{n \to \infty} f^n x = c.\] After the paper of Branciari, a lot of research works have been carried out on generalizing contractive conditions of integral type for a different contractive mappings satisfying various known properties. A fine work has been done by Rhoades [6] extending the result of Branciari by replacing the condition [1.2] by the following

\[\int_0^\infty \xi(t) \ dt \leq \int_0^\infty \max\{d(x,y), d(y,fy)\} \ \xi(t) \ dt\]

The aim of this paper is to generalize some mixed type of contractive conditions to the mapping and then a pair of mappings, satisfying a general contractive mappings such as Kannan type [3], Chatterjee type [5], Zamfirescu type [11], etc.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1 Let \(f\) be a self mapping of a complete metric space \((X,d)\). Satisfying the following condition:

\[\int_0^\infty \xi(t) \ dt \leq \alpha \int_0^\infty \max\{d(x,fx) + d(y,fy), d(x,y)\} \ \xi(t) \ dt + \beta \int_0^\infty \max\{d(x,fx) + d(y,fy)\} \ \xi(t) \ dt \]

2.1

For each \(x,y \in X\) with non negative reals \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\) such that \(0 < 2 \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + \delta < 1\), where \(\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+\) is a lesbesgue- integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of \(\mathbb{R}^+\), non negative and such that for each \(\epsilon > 0\), \[\int_0^\infty \xi(t) \ dt\] 2.2
Then \( f \) has a unique fixed point \( z \in X \) and for each \( x \in X, \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n x = z \)

**Proof:** For any arbitrary \( x_0 \in X \), we define a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) of element of \( X \), such that \( x_{n+1} = f x_n \), for \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Now \( \int_0^{d(f x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt = \int_0^{d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt \)

Form 2.1

\[
\int_0^{d(f x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt \leq \alpha \int_0^{d(x_n, f x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt + \beta \int_0^{d(x_n, f x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt + \gamma \int_0^{\max[d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_n)]} \xi(t) \, dt + \delta \int_0^{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt
\]

Which implies,

\[
\int_0^{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma} \int_0^{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt
\]

Thus, by routine calculation

\[
\int_0^{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt \leq m \int_0^{d(x_0, x_1)} \xi(t) \, dt
\]

Where \( m = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma} < 1 \)

Taking limit of (2.4) as \( n \to \infty \), we get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt = 0
\]

We now show that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that it is not. Then there exists an \( \epsilon > 0 \) and subsequence \( \{m(p)\} \) and \( \{n(p)\} \) such that \( m(p) < n(p) < m(p + 1) \) with

\[
d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \geq \epsilon, \; d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p) - 1}) \geq \epsilon
\]

Now

\[
d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1}) < d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)}) + d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)-1}) < d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)}) + \epsilon
\]

Hence

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \int_0^{d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)-1})} \xi(t) \, dt = \int_0^{\epsilon} \xi(t) \, dt
\]

Using (2.3), (2.6), and (2.8), we get

\[
\int_0^{\epsilon} \xi(t) \, dt \leq \int_0^{d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)})} \xi(t) \, dt \leq m \int_0^{\epsilon} \xi(t) \, dt
\]

Which is contradiction.

Since \( \in (0, 1) \), therefore \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy, hence converges to \( z \in X \).

From 2.1, we get

\[
\int_0^{d(f x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt \leq \alpha \int_0^{d(x_n, f x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt + \beta \int_0^{d(x_n, f x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt + \gamma \int_0^{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt + \delta \int_0^{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt
\]

Taking limit as \( n \to \infty \), we get

\[
\int_0^{d(f x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt \leq (2 \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + \delta) \int_0^{d(x_{n+1}, f x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt
\]

As \( 2 \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + \delta < 1 \),

\[
\int_0^{d(f x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \xi(t) \, dt = 0
\]

Which from (2.2) implies that \( d(z, z_0) = 0 \), so the fixed point is unique.
Remark:
i) On setting $\xi(t) = 1$ over $\mathbb{R}^+$, the contractive condition of integral type transforms into a general contractive condition not involving integrals.

ii) From condition 2.1, of integral type, several contractive mappings of integral type can be obtained.
   a) $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$ and $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ gives Kannan mapping of integral type.
   b) $\alpha = \beta = \delta = 0$ and $\gamma \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, gives Chatterjea map of integral type.
   c) $\beta = 0, \alpha, \gamma \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \delta \in (0,1)$, at least one of the following condition hold

\begin{align*}
Z1 : & \int_0^\infty \xi(t) dt \leq \alpha \int_0^\infty [d(x,t) + d(y,t)] \xi(t) dt \\
Z2 : & \int_0^\infty \xi(t) dt \leq \gamma \int_0^\infty [d(x,t) + d(y,t)] \xi(t) dt \\
Z3 : & \int_0^\infty \xi(t) dt \leq \delta \int_0^\infty [d(x,t) + d(y,t)] \xi(t) dt
\end{align*}

gives Zamfirescu mapping of integral type.

Example 2.2 Let $X = [0,1]$ and $d$ be usual metric with $(x, y) = |x - y|$. Clearly $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \to Y$ be given by $f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ for all $x \in [0,1]$. Again $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be given by $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{2}$. For all $\mathbb{R}^+$ then for each $\varepsilon > 0$

$\int_0^\infty \xi(t) dt = \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \frac{1}{2} dt - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} > 0$

Now taking $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{16}$ and $\delta = \frac{3}{8}$, one can easily verify that the condition 2.1, of theorem 2.1 is satisfied with $2\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + \delta < 1$ and $0$ is of course, the unique fixed point of $f$.

Now our next theorem is the extension of the theorem 2.1, for a pair of mappings.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f$ and $g$ be self mapping of a complete metric space $(X, d)$, satisfying the following condition:

\begin{align*}
\int_0^\infty d(x, g(x)) \xi(t) dt & \leq \alpha \int_0^\infty [d(x, x) + d(g(x), g(y))] \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \beta \int_0^\infty d(x, y) \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \gamma \int_0^\infty d(x, g(x), d(x, y)) \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \delta \int_0^\infty d(x, y) \xi(t) dt
\end{align*}

For each $x, y \in X$ with non negative reals $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that $0 < 2 \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + \delta < 1$, where $\xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lesbesgue- integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^+$, non negative and such that for each $e > 0, \int_0^e \xi(t) dt

Then $f$ and $g$ has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and for each $x \in X, \lim_{n \to +}\ f^n x = z$

Proof: For any arbitrary $x_0 \in X$, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of element of $X$, such that $x_{n+1} = f x_n$ and $x_{n+2} = g x_{n+1}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3,...$

Now \begin{align*}
\int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt &= \int_0^\infty d(f x_n, g x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt
\end{align*}

From 2.9

\begin{align*}
\int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt & \leq \alpha \int_0^\infty [d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})] \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \beta \int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_n) \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \gamma \int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_{n+1}, d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \delta \int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_{n+1}, d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \xi(t) dt & \leq \alpha \int_0^\infty [d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})] \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \beta \int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_n) \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \gamma \int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_{n+1}, d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \xi(t) dt + \\
& + \delta \int_0^\infty d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt
\end{align*}
Which implies
\[ \int_0^1 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma} \right) \int_0^1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt \]
Thus by routine calculation
\[ \int_0^1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt \leq m^n \int_0^1 d(x_0, x_1) \xi(t) dt \]
Where \( m = \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma} \right) < 1 \)
Taking limit of (2.12) as \( n \to \infty \), we get \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \xi(t) dt = 0 \)

We now show that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that it is not. Then there exists an \( \epsilon > 0 \) and subsequence \( \{m(p)\} \) and \( \{n(p)\} \) such that \( m(p) < n(p) < m(p) + 1 \) with
\[ d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \geq \epsilon, \ d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)+1}) \geq \epsilon \]
Now
\[ d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)}) < d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{m(p)+1}) + d(x_{m(p)+1}, x_{n(p)}) + \epsilon \]
We get
\[ \int_0^1 \xi(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)}) \xi(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)-1}, x_{n(p)+1}) \xi(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \xi(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)+1}) \xi(t) dt \]
Which is contradiction.
Since \( h \in (0, 1) \) therefore \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy, hence converges to

From 2.9, we get
\[ \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \xi(t) dt \leq (2 \alpha + 2 \beta + 2 \gamma + \delta) \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \xi(t) dt \]
Taking limit as \( n \to \infty \), we get
\[ \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \xi(t) dt \leq (2 \alpha + 2 \beta + 2 \gamma + \delta) \int_0^1 d(x_{m(p)}, x_{n(p)}) \xi(t) dt \]
Which from (2.10) implies that \( d(f(z), z) = 0 \) or \( f(z) = z \) similarly it can be shown that \( g(z) = z \) so \( f \) and \( g \) have a common fixed point \( z \in X \). Now we show that \( z \) is the unique common fixed point of \( f \) and \( g \).
If possible suppose that, let \( w \) be another common fixed point of \( f \) and \( g \). Then from 2.9 we have
\[ d(w, z) \leq (2 \alpha + 2 \beta + 2 \gamma + \delta) \int_0^1 d(w, z) \xi(t) dt \]
Since \( (2 \beta + \gamma + \delta) < 1 \), this implies that
\[ \int_0^1 d(w, z) \xi(t) dt = 0 \]
Which is from 2.10 implies that \( d(z, w) = 0 \). and so the fixed point is unique.

**Remark:** In this theorem 2.3 if we take \( f=g \) then we get result of theorem 2.1.
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